Team 6



Amazon Spillage & Containment

Purdue Students: Halim Tienda, Kendal Miller, Harrison Ho, Ethan Hirsh Purdue Mentor/Professor: James Condron & Dr. Fred Berry Amazon Clitents: Jo Good, JJ Futey, Alex Bergman, Patrick Fulgham

rce (G) 🖂

9.87

8.39

12.46

14.65

10.48

11.96

11.58

12.65

8.11

7.46

7.06

5-Foot

3-Foot

Clearly the current 3-foot drop does not

max forces experienced

even meet the



Customer Background

We are collaborating with Amazon's RME team (reliability, maintenance, and engineering teams) to develop a more effective approach to mitigate the occurrence of amnesty during package transit. Amnesty, which refers to the opening and spilling of packages, results in damage and downtime on the conveyor belts, thereby reducing overall efficiency. As a rapidly growing and expansive organization, Amazon offers a vast array of items, which can spill for various reasons. One of the reasons is improper preparation, which involves placing each item in a plastic bag, bubble wrap, or sealing it with tape to prevent spillage. If the item does open, the packaging should contain it. The preparation of items follows specific standards, which require dropping the item at a height of 3 feet, three separate times onto a concrete platform. If the item can withstand this test, no further preparation is necessary. However, if the item fails the test, it requires specific preparation, depending on the item type. This responsibility falls on both Amazon and the people shipping to Amazon for fulfillment by Amazon. Furthermore, during our time on the floor, we discovered that not all associates are aware of the items that should not be packaged. We also identified some key areas of high impact force that cause breakage, as the force experienced at those points is higher than the force experienced during the testing protocol for preparation. Overall, we had to put in a lot of work to understand the problem and our client to produce the best solutions and outcomes

Problem Statement/Scope of Work

The Amazon Fulfillment Centers are in need of a solution to reduce liquid amnesty, unknown risks product, quality culture, lack of standards, operations maintenance, and engineering dichotomy. Evaluate the current system and find the root cause of spillage and where we can implement solutions to prevent or eliminate spillage from happening and/or contain it when it occurs in a cost-effective manner and minimizes the human element during the high-pressur time constraints windows such as the 2-hour slam window.

Requirements Matrix						
76 7604 CR KE	omer wong. Armane Conveyor Spillage Containment or YMARINE 6 ANYON WILL AND ANY					
Req.	DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	DESIGN TARGETS	VALIDATION	COMMENTS		
#		RATIONAL		00111111111		
1	Solution must be scaleable	To be detrimined (need to ask Client this week about which fuffilement centers would they test our solution in <i>A</i> as well as ask about design target for this metric this week)	Test runs in Different Fufilment Centers	After narrowing down our scope this past week scalibity means only in fulfilment centers like MDW7		
	Arring our Initial Meeting with JJ and Joe he told us that he wanted a solution that was scaleable and cost effective.					
2	Cost Effective	To be detrimine (1 want to look at the cost of Prep for current one gallon volume items then take the average of the cost. Ask amazen if our solution is at most the average if that is an acceptable design target or if they want it to be a lower max than the average	Test runs in Different Fufilment Centers	Review current peep for a multiade of products with a volume of ene gallon and find out what the hourly rate used to calculate prep time cost is. Will enable us to ack Amazen		
	During our Initial Meeting with JJ and Joe be told us that he wanted a solution that was scaleable and cost effective.			about Design Targets for this Design requirement.		
3	Minimual Impact on Tact Times	Track Average Tacts times during normal process and during the test run of our solution and compare	Record Tact Times during the test runs of our solution and normal process			
	During our initial meeting with Amazen, JJ and Joe told us that they have a bagging process already implemented at cities. However this process isn't followed by associates because of it impact on their tact times					
4	Design for the containment of liquid items with a volume of one gallon	At least contain a volume of one gallon	Test solution on a multitude of different one gallon volume items			
	On October 20th, during our weekly meeting with Anazon	we narrowed down our scope to liquid with ve	olumes of one gallon or less.			

	Experi	imentation and	Concepts	
	Air Column Bags Bubble Wrap Bags	Area # Test Area - M/05 Fulfilmen 1 From truck unloading to be 2 2 First waterfail and horseshe 3 3 First upper horseshoe till be 4 4 From singular rollers till after 5 5 Arker shark fin through sing Bet after singularot, through sing 6 diverted to problem solve; 7 7 Top of gravity spiral down to 8 9 Gravity fail from recurator 9 10 Down gravity shoot convay 10	t Center Q Avg. Max Vec Gre first turn to before go up e fore singulator rs shark fin ator before scanner h scanner and on main sorter, topped before gravity spiral problem solve area (6R2-2) rs, horsehoe to recuurulator back to belt before sharkfin or	
		11 Reguair 3 ft drop Biggest Impact Force Areas 1. The shark-fin (4) ~ 150 2. Horseshoe after main 13 G 3. Upper Horseshoe befi 4. With human interacti	5 sorter for recirculatory belt (8) ^ ore shark-fin (3) ~12.5G on as high as 23G	
J	Poly Bags	in the second se	AND THE THE PART OF THE PART O	
11	Final Design			
e	Requirement Factors for Solutions	To Contain Spill of a < 1 gallon glass liquid	Tenting Droge Tent #3, 6 feet 8 inch Droge Tent #2, 6 feet 8 inch Droge Te	





Amazon Drop Test Matrix

3 Ft Drop In House Data