Student Name: Form Polytechnic 6

Rubric for Evaluating Graduate Teaching Assistants

(This form and rubric should be completed by the faculty member overseeing the teaching assistant.)

Student	Advisor	
Course Taught	Semester	
Number of students		
Describe togeting assistant's responsibilities in the course. Include	do if course was in lecture or laboratory format, and responsibilities student be	4

Describe teaching assistant's responsibilities in the course. Include if course was in lecture or laboratory format; and responsibilities student had regarding course content and course development.

A summary of **written comments** from the faculty member overseeing the teaching experience as well as any written comments and standard evaluation scores provided by students enrolled in the course **WILL** be provided to the student by the faculty member overseeing the teaching experience and; a verbal summarization of the overall evaluation of the students performance in the teaching experience **WILL** be provided to the student by faculty member overseeing the teaching experience. All evaluation documents including rubrics and written comments must be completed.

A copy of the completed forms (both rubrics and written comments) must be sent to your department head and the Associate Dean for Graduate Programs and Research within 1 week of the completion of the teaching evaluation.

Student Name: Form Polytechnic 6

Criteria	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Content Knowledge	□ Not familiar with material.	Familiar with material. Can handle most learner inquiries.	Thorough and complete knowledge of material.
Organization/Prepara tion	□ Not prepared for class.	☐ Well- prepared for class.	Extremely well organized and prepared for class.
Educational Supplements	 Educational supplements were inappropriate or did not add to student learning. 	 Educational supplements were appropriate. 	 Educational supplements enhanced learning, and added substantially to the class.
Interaction with students	☐ Instructor uncomfortable with student interaction, and interacts in only the most basic ways.	☐ Instructor interacts competently with students. Comfortable fielding and seeking questions.	 Instructor interacted very effectively with student learners. Students seek out instructor for assistance.
Delivery of material (lecture or laboratory)	 Material was poorly delivered. Speaking or mannerisms created distractions limiting effectiveness. 	 Material was well delivered. No speaking or teaching mannerisms to distract the learner 	 Material was delivered in a clear and understandable fashion. Delivery style engaged learners in the process.
Assessment of learning	☐ Assessments are non- existent; or inappropriate for context.	Assessments are very well done. Accurately reflect content taught; and assess higher level learning and thinking.	☐ Assessments are exceptional. Accurately reflect content taught; higher level learning, and demonstrate thorough learner grasp of concepts and theory.
Improvements in Instructional practice	☐ Instructional practices are not adjusted to improve student learning; or in response to feedback	 Instructional practices are creative and innovative. Learners respond to instruction. 	 Exceptional instructional practices. Learners are stimulated and engaged. Instructor is responsive and applies feedback.
Student (PICES) Evaluations	□ Poor.	☐ Above average.	☐ Exceptional.
Ethics	Documentation is inadequate	☐ Documentation is adequate	☐ Documentation is excellent
Overall Assessment	☐ Does not meet expectations	☐ Meets expectations	☐ Exceeds expectations

Student Name:		Form Polytechnic 6
Completed by:	Date:	
Written comments from <u>Teaching Evaluator</u>	concerning performance on Teaching Experience:	
Teaching Evaluator Signature	Date:	