Rubric for Evaluating	g MS D	Directed Project	and Defense
------------------------------	--------	-------------------------	-------------

(This page should be filled out by the student or Committee Chairman/advisor prior to distribution to Committee)

Chair of Evaluation Committee		
Advisor:	Date of Directed Project Defense	
Directed Project Title		
Committee Members and Department		

At the conclusion of the Directed Project Defense, **each committee member should fill out the response sheet**. For each attribute which a committee member feels is somewhat or very deficient, a short explanation should be provided. **Comment** sections at the bottom of the rubric are provided for explanations of the reasoning behind the overall evaluation of the examinee's performance if desired. Completed forms are to be **turned in to the Chair of the Evaluation Committee** (or Advisor), not the student.

A summary of written comments from the committee members WILL be provided to the student by the chair of the examining committee (or Advisor) and a verbal summarization of the overall evaluation of the student's performance by the committee WILL be provided to the student by that individual.

All examination documents (rubrics and written comments) must be completed regardless of the outcome of the Directed Project Defense.

A copy of the completed forms (both rubrics and written comments) must be sent to the Associate Dean for Graduate Programs within 48 hours of the conclusion of the Directed Project Defense.

Student Name:

Directed Project Defense Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality presentation	Poorly organized	Clearly organized	U Well organized
	□ Poor presentation	□ Clear presentation	□ Professional presentation
	□ Poor communication skills	□ Good communication skills	□ Excellent communication skills
	\Box Slides and handouts difficult to read	□ Slides and handouts clear	□ Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	□ Presentation unacceptable	□ Presentation acceptable	□ Presentation superior
	Presentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge
	Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
	□ Presentation is narrow in scope	Presentation reveals the ability to draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
Quality of response to questions	□ Responses are incomplete	□ Responses are complete	□ Responses are eloquent
	□ Arguments are poorly presented	□ Arguments are well organized	□ Arguments are skillfully presented
	Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
	□ Responses do not meet level expected of a MS graduate	Responses meet level expected of a MS graduate	□ Responses exceed level expected of a MS graduate
Overall Assessment	Does not meet expectations	□ Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Comments:			

Student Name:

Directed Project Defense Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

oorly organized oor presentation oor communication skills lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical veaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills resentation is narrow in scope	 Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to 	 Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
oor communication skills lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
veaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	knowledge in subject matter	depth of subject knowledge
leveloped critical thinking skills	critical thinking skills	1
resentation is narrow in scope	\Box Presentation reveals the ability to	
	draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
esponses are incomplete	□ Responses are complete	□ Responses are eloquent
rguments are poorly presented	□ Arguments are well organized	□ Arguments are skillfully presented
espondent exhibits lack of nowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
esponses do not meet level expected of a MS graduate	Responses meet level expected of a MS graduate	□ Responses exceed level expected of a MS graduate
oes not meet expectations	☐ Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
		-
2	spected of a MS graduate	xpected of a MS graduate MS graduate

Student Name:

Directed Project Defense Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

oorly organized oor presentation oor communication skills lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical veaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills resentation is narrow in scope	 Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to 	 Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
oor communication skills lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
lides and handouts difficult to read resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Slides and handouts clear Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Slides and handouts outstanding Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
resentation unacceptable resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
resentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	 Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills 	 Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed
veaknesses in depth of knowledge n subject matter resentation does not reflect well leveloped critical thinking skills	knowledge in subject matter	depth of subject knowledge
leveloped critical thinking skills	critical thinking skills	1
resentation is narrow in scope	\Box Presentation reveals the ability to	
	draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
esponses are incomplete	□ Responses are complete	□ Responses are eloquent
rguments are poorly presented	□ Arguments are well organized	□ Arguments are skillfully presented
espondent exhibits lack of nowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
esponses do not meet level expected of a MS graduate	Responses meet level expected of a MS graduate	□ Responses exceed level expected of a MS graduate
oes not meet expectations	☐ Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
		-
2	spected of a MS graduate	xpected of a MS graduate MS graduate

Directed Project Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science	□ Arguments are incoherent or flawed	☐ Arguments are coherent and clear	□ Arguments are superior
	□ Objectives are poorly defined	□ Objectives are clear	□ Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills	Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature	□ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature.
	Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts
	Displays limited creativity and insight	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution to discipline	□ Limited evidence of discovery	\Box Some evidence of discovery	□ Exceptional evidence of discovery
	Limited expansion upon previous research	□ Builds upon previous research	\Box Greatly extends previous research
	Limited theoretical or applied significance	Reasonable theoretical or applied significance	Exceptional theoretical or applied significance
	□ Limited publication potential	□ Reasonable publication potential	Exceptional publication potential
Responsible Conduct of	Demonstrates unacceptable originality	Demonstrates acceptable originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
Research	□ Lacks regulatory compliance	□ Considers regulatory compliance	Demonstrates regulatory compliance
	□ Documentation is inadequate	□ Documentation is adequate	□ Documentation is excellent
Quality of writing	□ Writing is weak	□ Writing is adequate	□ Writing is publication quality
	Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent	□ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent	No grammatical or spelling errors apparent
	□ Organization is poor	□ Organization is logical	□ Organization is excellent
Overall Assessment	\Box Does not meet expectations	□ Meets Expectations	□ Exceeds Expectations
Comments:			

Directed Project Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science	□ Arguments are incoherent or flawed	☐ Arguments are coherent and clear	□ Arguments are superior
	□ Objectives are poorly defined	□ Objectives are clear	□ Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills	Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature	□ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature.
	Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts
	Displays limited creativity and insight	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution to discipline	□ Limited evidence of discovery	\Box Some evidence of discovery	□ Exceptional evidence of discovery
	Limited expansion upon previous research	□ Builds upon previous research	\Box Greatly extends previous research
	Limited theoretical or applied significance	Reasonable theoretical or applied significance	Exceptional theoretical or applied significance
	□ Limited publication potential	□ Reasonable publication potential	Exceptional publication potential
Responsible Conduct of	Demonstrates unacceptable originality	Demonstrates acceptable originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
Research	□ Lacks regulatory compliance	Considers regulatory compliance	Demonstrates regulatory compliance
	□ Documentation is inadequate	□ Documentation is adequate	□ Documentation is excellent
Quality of writing	□ Writing is weak	□ Writing is adequate	□ Writing is publication quality
	Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent	□ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent	No grammatical or spelling errors apparent
	□ Organization is poor	□ Organization is logical	□ Organization is excellent
Overall Assessment	\Box Does not meet expectations	□ Meets Expectations	□ Exceeds Expectations
Comments:			

Directed Project Rubric – Completed by:_____ Date:_____

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science	□ Arguments are incoherent or flawed	□ Arguments are coherent and clear	□ Arguments are superior
	□ Objectives are poorly defined	□ Objectives are clear	□ Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills	Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature	□ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature.
	Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts
	Displays limited creativity and insight	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution to discipline	□ Limited evidence of discovery	\Box Some evidence of discovery	□ Exceptional evidence of discovery
	Limited expansion upon previous research	□ Builds upon previous research	\Box Greatly extends previous research
	Limited theoretical or applied significance	Reasonable theoretical or applied significance	Exceptional theoretical or applied significance
	□ Limited publication potential	□ Reasonable publication potential	Exceptional publication potential
Responsible Conduct of	Demonstrates unacceptable originality	Demonstrates acceptable originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
Research	□ Lacks regulatory compliance	□ Considers regulatory compliance	Demonstrates regulatory compliance
	□ Documentation is inadequate	□ Documentation is adequate	□ Documentation is excellent
Quality of writing	□ Writing is weak	□ Writing is adequate	□ Writing is publication quality
	Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent	□ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent	No grammatical or spelling errors apparent
	□ Organization is poor	□ Organization is logical	□ Organization is excellent
Overall Assessment	\Box Does not meet expectations	□ Meets Expectations	□ Exceeds Expectations
Comments:			

Chair of Examining Committee:	Date:
-------------------------------	-------

<u>Summary</u> of written comments from <u>ALL</u> committee members for student concerning performance on Directed Project and Defense:

Chair of Examining Committee Signature_____

_ Date: _____